



Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
9/476,633	12/31/99	WANG		L 042390.P78		2390.P 7 832
				EXAMINER		MINER
MMC2/0206 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP				GARCIA,J		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD				ART UN	IT	PAPER NUMBER
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90025				2823		
				DATE MAIL		2/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/476,633 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit Joannie Ad II García

2823

Wang et al



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☑ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D.	nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expilonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of CFR 1.136(a).	ond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-22</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re The drawing(s) filed on is/are objections.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	
received in this national stage application from the Inte	ernational Buleau (PC) Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
	-
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s))
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	IE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2823

5

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-15, and 18-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grumbine et al, and further in view of the following comment.

Grumbine et al teaches a removing a particle from a metal surface of a via by introducing a first agent to a metal layer, polishing the metal layer with first agent, and introducing a second agent comprising hydrogen peroxide. He also discloses that polishing the metal layer comprises polishing a metal material selected from the group consisting of tungsten, copper and aluminum. He also teaches that polishing the metal layer comprises polishing with the first agent having an abrasive material selected from the group consisting of silica, alumina, zirconia, and ceria. He discloses as well, that polishing comprises chemical mechanical polishing. He is also teaching that introducing the second agent comprises introducing a second agent of approximately 4% by volume or less of hydrogen peroxide. He also teaches polishing the substrate with the second agent. He also discloses depositing a slurry onto the substrate, polishing the metal layer and the substrate, and rinsing a metal plug with a solution comprising hydrogen peroxide. He is teaching as well, that polishing the metal layer includes removing the metal. (Column 2, lines 23-32, Column 3, lines 32-38, lines 47-56, and 61-67, Column 4, lines 48-53, Column 6, lines 43-46, and Column 10, lines 40-51). Grumbine et al does not disclose sequential use of slurries with and without hydrogen peroxide. However, in view of the disclosure that both types of slurries are

Art Unit: 2823

effective in polishing metals. It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a slurry containing hydrogen peroxide subsequent to another slurry to achieve respective potions of the polishing step. (See Example 2).

Claims 8, 16, 17, 21, and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grumbine et al as applied to claim 1-4, 6, 7, 9-11, 13-15, 18, and 20 above, and further in view of Robinson et al (U.S. Patent 5,990,012), and the following comment.

Grumbine et al does not disclose that rinsing occurs during polishing, that polishing the metal layer with the second agent includes polishing with a polisher operating at a polishing pressure approximately in the range of 0.5 psi to 2.0 psi, and that the metal layer is removed at a rate of 60 Å/minute. Robinson et al discloses rinsing during a polishing operation (Column 1, lines 48-50). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the process of Robinson et al for it's disclosed intended purpose to achieve the metal layer polishing step of Grumbine et al. It would be a matter of routine optimization within the teachings of Grumbine to determine a suitable pressure and a suitable rate to achieve the metal layer polishing step and metal layer removal step.

Art Unit: 2823

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2823

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner J. Garcia whose telephone number is (703) 306-5733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax number for this group is (703) 308-7722(and 7724), and (703) 305-3431 (and 3432). MPEP 502.01 contains instructions regarding procedures used in submitting responses by facsimile transmission.

George Føurson
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2823

January 31, 2001